

# A Note on Restrained Domination in Trees

Johannes H. Hattingh and Andrew R. Plummer

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
University Plaza  
Georgia State University  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303, USA

## Abstract

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph. A set  $S \subseteq V$  is a restrained dominating set if every vertex not in  $S$  is adjacent to a vertex in  $S$  and to a vertex in  $V - S$ . The restrained domination number of  $G$ , denoted by  $\gamma_r(G)$ , is the smallest cardinality of a restrained dominating set of  $G$ . It is known that if  $T$  is a tree of order  $n$ , then  $\gamma_r(T) \geq \lceil (n+2)/3 \rceil$ . In this note we provide a simple constructive characterization of the extremal trees  $T$  of order  $n$  achieving this lower bound.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we follow the notation of [1]. Specifically, let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph with vertex set  $V$  and edge set  $E$ . Moreover, the notation  $P_n$  will denote the path of order  $n$ . A set  $S \subseteq V$  is a *dominating set* of  $G$  if every vertex not in  $S$  is adjacent to a vertex in  $S$ . The *domination number* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\gamma(G)$ , is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set. The concept of domination in graphs, with its many variations, is now well studied in graph theory. The recent book of Chartrand and Lesniak [1] includes a chapter on domination. A thorough study of domination appears in [5, 6].

In this paper, we continue the study of a variation of the domination theme, namely that of restrained domination [2, 3, 4, 7, 8]. A set  $S \subseteq V$  is a *restrained dominating set* if every vertex not in  $S$  is adjacent to a vertex in  $S$  and to a vertex in  $V - S$ . Every graph has a restrained dominating set, since  $S = V$  is such a set. The *restrained domination number* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\gamma_r(G)$ , is the minimum cardinality of a restrained dominating set of  $G$ . A restrained dominating set of cardinality  $\gamma_r(G)$  will be called a  $\gamma_r(G)$ -*set*.

The concept of restrained domination was introduced by Telle and Proskurowski [8], albeit indirectly, as a vertex partitioning problem. Here conditions are imposed on a set  $S$ , the complementary set  $V - S$  and on edges between the sets  $S$  and  $V - S$ . For example, if we require that every vertex in  $V - S$  should be adjacent to some other vertex of  $V - S$  (the condition on the set  $V - S$ ) and to some vertex in  $S$  (the condition on edges between the sets  $S$  and  $V - S$ ), then  $S$  is a restrained dominating set.

One application of domination is that of prisoners and guards. For security, each prisoner must be seen by some guard; the concept is that of domination. However, in order to protect the rights of prisoners, we may also require that each prisoner is seen by another prisoner; the concept is that of restrained domination.

It is known [2] that if  $T$  is a tree of order  $n$ , then  $\gamma_r(T) \geq \lceil (n+2)/3 \rceil$ .

We refer to a vertex of degree 1 in  $T$  as a *leaf* of  $T$ . A vertex adjacent to a leaf we call a *remote vertex* of  $T$ . For a vertex  $v$  of  $T$ , we shall use the expression, *attach a  $P_m$  at  $v$* , to refer to the operation of taking the union of  $T$  and a path  $P_m$  and joining one of the ends of this path to  $v$  with an edge.

For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\mathcal{T}_n = \{T \mid T \text{ is a tree of order } n \text{ such that } \gamma_r(T) = \lceil (n+2)/3 \rceil\}$ . A constructive characterization of the extremal trees  $T$  of order  $n$  achieving this lower bound were characterized in [2]. For the purpose of stating this characterization, we define a **type (1)** operation on a tree  $T$  as attaching a  $P_2$  at  $v$  where  $v$  is a vertex of  $T$  not belonging to some minimum restrained dominating set of  $T$ , and a **type (2)** operation as attaching a  $P_3$  at  $v$  where  $v$  belongs to some minimum restrained dominating set of  $T$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $T_i$  be the tree obtained from  $K(1, 3)$  by subdividing  $i$  edges once.

Let  $\mathcal{C}_{3k} = \{T \mid T \text{ is a tree of order } 3k \text{ which can be obtained from the tree } T_2 \text{ by a finite sequence of operations of type (2)}\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_{3k+1} = \{T \mid T \text{ is a tree of order } 3k+1 \text{ which can be obtained from } P_4 \text{ by a finite sequence of operations of type (2)}\}$ . Finally, let  $\mathcal{C}_{3k+2} = \{T \mid T \text{ is a tree of order } 3k+2 \text{ which can be obtained from } P_5 \text{ or from the tree } T_1 \text{ by a finite sequence of operations of type (2)}\} \cup \{T \mid T \text{ is a tree of order } 3k+2 \text{ which can be constructed from the tree } T_2 \text{ by a finite sequence of operations of type (2), followed by one operation of type (1) and then by a finite sequence of operations of type (2)}\}$ .

It was established in [2] that

**Theorem 1** For  $n \geq 4$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_n = \mathcal{C}_n$ .

The purpose of this note is to provide a simpler constructive characterization of the extremal trees  $T$  of order  $n$  achieving this lower bound.

We denote the set of leaves of a tree  $T$  by  $L(T)$ . For  $v \in V(T)$  and  $\ell \in L(T)$ , the path  $vx_1 \dots x_k \ell$  is called a  $v - L$  *endpath* if  $\deg x_i = 2$  for each  $i$ . If the vertex  $v$  need not be specified, a  $v - L$  path is also called an *endpath*.

## 2 Extremal trees $T$ with $\gamma_r(T) = \lceil (n+2)/3 \rceil$

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the class of all trees  $T$  of order  $n$  such that  $\gamma_r(T) = \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil$ . We will constructively characterize the trees in  $\mathcal{T}$ . In order to state the characterization, we define three simple operations on a tree  $T$ .

**O1.** Join a leaf or a remote vertex, or a vertex  $v$  or  $x$  of  $T$  on an endpath  $vxyz$  to a vertex of  $K_1$ , where  $n(T) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

**O2.** Join a remote vertex, or a vertex  $v$  of  $T$  which lies on an endpath  $vzx$  to a leaf of  $P_2$ , where  $n(T) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  or  $n(T) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

**O3.** Join a leaf of  $T$  to  $\ell$  disjoint copies of  $P_3$  for some  $\ell \geq 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the class of all trees obtained from  $P_2$  or  $P_4$  by a finite sequence of Operations **O1- O3**.

We will show that  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  if and only if  $T \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $S$  be a  $\gamma_r(T')$ -set of  $T'$  throughout the proofs of the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2** *Let  $T' \in \mathcal{T}$  be a tree of order  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . If  $T$  is obtained from  $T'$  by Operation **O1**, then  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $u$  be a leaf or a remote vertex, or a vertex  $w$  or  $x$  on an endpath  $wxyz$  of  $T'$ , and suppose  $T$  is formed by attaching the singleton  $v$  to  $u$ . Then  $S \cup \{v\}$  is a **RDS** of  $T$ , and so  $\lceil \frac{n+3}{3} \rceil \leq \gamma_r(T) \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil + 1$ . Since  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , we have  $\gamma_r(T) = \lceil \frac{n(T)+2}{3} \rceil$ . Thus,  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3** *Let  $T' \in \mathcal{T}$  be a tree of order  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  or  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . If  $T$  is obtained from  $T'$  by Operation **O2**, then  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $v$  is a remote vertex or  $v$  lies on the endpath  $vzx$  and  $T$  is obtained from  $T'$  by adding the path  $vyz'$ .

We show that  $v \notin S$ . First consider the case when  $v$  is a remote vertex adjacent to a leaf  $z$ . Suppose  $v \in S$ . Then  $S' = S - \{z\}$  is a **RDS** of  $T'' = T' - z$ , and so  $\lceil \frac{n+1}{3} \rceil \leq \gamma_r(T'') \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil - 1$ , which is a contradiction when  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  or  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Thus,  $v \notin S$ .

In the case when  $v$  lies on the endpath  $vzx$ , one may show, as in the previous paragraph, that  $x \notin S$ . But then  $v \notin S$ , as required.

In both cases, the set  $S \cup \{z'\}$  is a **RDS** of  $T$ , and so  $\lceil \frac{n+4}{3} \rceil \leq \gamma_r(T) \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil + 1$ . However, as  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  or  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , we have  $\gamma_r(T) = \lceil \frac{n+4}{3} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n(T)+2}{3} \rceil$ . Thus,  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ .

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 4** *Let  $T' \in \mathcal{T}$  be a tree of order  $n$ . If  $T$  is obtained from  $T'$  by the Operation **O3**, then  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $S$  be a  $\gamma_r(T')$ -set of  $T'$ , and suppose  $v$  is a leaf of  $T'$ . Then  $v \in S$ . Let  $T$  be the tree which is obtained from  $T$  by adding the paths  $vx_iy_iz_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ . Then  $S \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{z_i\}$

is a **RDS** of  $T$ , and so  $\lceil \frac{n+3\ell+2}{3} \rceil \leq \gamma_r(T) \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil + \ell$ . Consequently,  $\gamma_r(T) = \lceil \frac{n(T)+2}{3} \rceil$ , and so  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ .  $\square$

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 5**  $T \in \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{C}$ . We show that  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ , by using induction on  $s(T)$ , the number of operations required to construct the tree  $T$ . If  $s(T) = 0$ , then  $T = P_2$  or  $T = P_4$ , both of which are in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Assume, then, for all trees  $T' \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $s(T') < k$ , where  $k \geq 1$  is an integer, that  $T'$  is in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let  $T \in \mathcal{C}$  be a tree with  $s(T) = k$ . Then  $T$  is obtained from some tree  $T'$  by one of the Operations **O1** – **O3**. But then  $T' \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $s(T') < k$ . Applying the inductive hypothesis to  $T'$ ,  $T'$  is in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Hence, by Lemmas 2,3 or 4,  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ .

To show that  $T \in \mathcal{C}$  for a nontrivial  $T \in \mathcal{T}$ , we use induction on  $n$ , the order of the tree  $T$ . If  $n = 2$ , then  $T = P_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ . If  $n = 3$ , then  $T \notin \mathcal{T}$ . If  $n = 4$ , then either  $T = P_4$  or  $T$  is a star. If  $T$  is a star then  $T \notin \mathcal{T}$ . If  $T = P_4$  then  $T \in \mathcal{C}$ . Let  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  be a tree of order  $n \geq 5$ , and assume for all trees  $T' \in \mathcal{T}$  of order  $4 \leq n' < n$ , that  $T' \in \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $n(T) \geq 5$  and no stars are in  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $\text{diam}(T) \geq 3$ .

If  $\text{diam}(T) = 3$ , then  $T$  is a double star of order 5, has a remote vertex adjacent to two leaves, and is therefore constructible from  $P_4$  by **O1**, whence  $T \in \mathcal{C}$ . Thus, we may assume  $\text{diam}(T) \geq 4$ .

Throughout  $S$  will be used to denote a  $\gamma_r(T)$ -set of  $T$ .

**Claim 1** Suppose  $z$  is a leaf of  $T$ . If  $S - \{z\}$  is a **RDS** of  $T' = T - z$ , then  $n(T') \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $T' \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $S - \{z\}$  is a **RDS** of  $T'$ . Then  $\lceil \frac{n-1+2}{3} \rceil \leq \gamma_r(T') \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil - 1$ . This yields a contradiction when  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  or  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Hence,  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , and  $\gamma_r(T') = \frac{n+1}{3} = \lceil \frac{n(T')+2}{3} \rceil$ . Thus,  $T' \in \mathcal{T}$ , with  $n(T') = n - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . By the induction assumption,  $T' \in \mathcal{C}$ .  $\diamond$

Suppose  $vxz$  or  $vz$  is an endpath of  $T$ . If  $v, x \in S$ , then  $S - \{z\}$  is a **RDS** of  $T' = T - z$ . By Claim 1, the tree  $T' = (T - z) \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $T$  can be constructed from  $T'$  by Operation **O1**. Thus, if  $vxz$  or  $vz$  is an endpath of  $T$ , we may assume  $v, x \notin S$ .

Suppose  $v$  is a remote vertex adjacent to at least two leaves, and let  $z$  be a leaf adjacent to  $v$ . Then  $S - \{z\}$  is a **RDS** of  $T' = T - z$ . By Claim 1, the tree  $T' = (T - z) \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $T$  can be constructed from  $T'$  by Operation **O1**. Thus, we may assume that every remote vertex is adjacent to exactly one leaf.

Let  $T$  be rooted at a leaf  $r$  of a longest path.

Let  $v$  be any vertex on a longest path at distance  $\text{diam}(T) - 2$  from  $r$ . Suppose  $v$  lies on the endpath  $vyz'$ . Then, by the above remark,  $v, y \notin S$ . Suppose  $\deg(v) \geq 3$  and first assume  $v$

is a remote vertex adjacent to a leaf  $u$ . Since  $\text{diam}(T) \geq 4$ ,  $v$  has a parent vertex  $v_0$ . Suppose  $v_0 \in S$ . If  $\deg(v) \geq 4$ , since, by Claim 1,  $v$  is adjacent to one leaf only,  $x$  is on an endpath  $vxz$  where  $x \notin S$ . Since  $v_0 \in S$ , it follows that  $S' = S - \{u, z\}$  is a **RDS** for  $T' = T - u - x - z$ . Hence,  $\lceil \frac{(n-3)+2}{3} \rceil \leq \gamma_r(T') \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil - 2$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $\deg(v) = 3$ . Consider  $T' = T - u$ . The vertex  $v$  in  $T'$  is on the endpath  $v_0vyz'$ . Since  $v_0 \in S$ , it follows that  $S' = S - \{u\}$  is a **RDS** for  $T'$ . Thus, by Claim 1,  $T' \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $T$  can be constructed from  $T'$  by Operation **O1**, whence  $T \in \mathcal{C}$ . So suppose  $v_0 \notin S$ . Then  $S' = S - \{z'\}$  is a **RDS** for  $T' = T - y - z'$ . Hence,  $\lceil \frac{(n-2)+2}{3} \rceil \leq \gamma_r(T') \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil - 1$ , which is a contradiction when  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Hence  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  or  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and  $\gamma_r(T') = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n(T')+2}{3} \rceil$ . Thus,  $T' \in \mathcal{T}$ , with  $n(T') = n - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  or  $n(T') = n - 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . By the induction assumption,  $T' \in \mathcal{C}$ . The tree  $T$  can now be constructed from  $T'$  by applying Operation **O2**, whence  $T \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Hence we may assume  $v$  is not a remote vertex. Then  $v$  lies on the endpaths  $vxz$  and  $vyz'$ . It follows that  $S' = S - \{z'\}$  is a **RDS** for  $T' = T - y - z'$ . Hence, by reasoning similar to that in the previous paragraph, the tree  $T$  can be constructed from  $T'$  by applying Operation **O2**, whence  $T \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Thus, we assume each vertex on a longest path at distance  $\text{diam}(T) - 2$  or  $\text{diam}(T) - 1$  from  $r$  has degree two.

Let  $v$  be any vertex on a longest path at distance  $\text{diam}(T) - 3$  from  $r$ . Let  $vx_1y_1z_1$  be an endpath of  $T$ . Then  $x_1, y_1 \notin S$ , and so  $v \in S$ .

Suppose  $\deg(v) \geq 3$ . If  $v$  is on an endpath  $vxz$ , it follows that  $x, z \in S$ . By the remark following Claim 1,  $T \in \mathcal{C}$ . Suppose  $v$  is a remote vertex adjacent to a leaf  $u$ . By Claim 1,  $u$  is the only leaf adjacent to  $v$ . Moreover,  $S' = S - \{u\}$  is a **RDS** for  $T' = T - u$ . Thus, by Claim 1,  $T' \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $T$  can be constructed from  $T'$  by Operation **O1**, whence  $T \in \mathcal{C}$ .

So we may assume that  $v$  lies only on endpaths  $vx_iy_iz_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ . Let  $e$  be the edge that joins  $v$  with its parent, and let  $T(v)$  be the component of  $T - e$  that contains  $v$ . Then  $T(v)$  consists of  $\ell$  disjoint paths  $x_iy_iz_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ ) with  $v$  joined to  $x_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ . Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ . Since  $x_iy_iz_i$  is an endpath of  $T$ , we have  $x_i \notin S$ ,  $y_i \notin S$  and  $v \in S$ . Then  $S - \cup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{z_i\}$  is a **RDS** of  $T' = T - (T(v) - \{v\})$ , and so  $\lceil \frac{n-3\ell+2}{3} \rceil \leq \gamma_r(T') \leq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil - \ell$ , whence  $\gamma_r(T') = \lceil \frac{n(T')+2}{3} \rceil$ . Thus,  $T' \in \mathcal{T}$ , and by the induction assumption,  $T' \in \mathcal{C}$ . Note that  $v$  is a leaf of  $T'$ . The tree  $T$  can now be constructed from  $T'$  by applying Operation **O3**, whence  $T \in \mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak, *Graphs & Digraphs: Third Edition*, Chapman & Hall, London, 1996.
- [2] G.S. Domke, J.H. Hattingh, S.T. Hedetniemi, and L.R. Markus, Restrained domination in trees. *Discrete Math.* **211** (2000) 1–9.

- [3] G.S. Domke, J.H. Hattingh, M.A. Henning, and L.R. Markus, Restrained domination in graphs with minimum degree two. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* **35** (2000) 239–254.
- [4] G.S. Domke, J.H. Hattingh, S.T. Hedetniemi, R.C. Laskar, and L.R. Markus, Restrained domination in graphs. *Discrete Math.* **203** (1999), 61–69.
- [5] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, and P.J. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1997.
- [6] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, and P.J. Slater (eds), *Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1997.
- [7] M.A. Henning, Graphs with large restrained domination number. *Discrete Math.* **197/198** (1999) 415–429.
- [8] J.A. Telle and A. Proskurowski, Algorithms for vertex partitioning problems on partial  $k$ -trees. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **10** (1997) 529-550.